# ODE

### **Boundary Value Problem**

Solve 
$$y'' = f(x, y, y'), y(a) = \alpha, y(b) = \beta$$

## It is not very easy to solve such problems because we don't have a simple marching scheme unlike Initial Value Problems

# One of the commonest method is to solve using Shooting Method

# Shooting Method

### **Second-Order Differential Equation**

The simplest two-point boundary value problem is a second-order differential equation with one condition specified at x = a and another one at x = b. Here is a typical second-order boundary value problem:

$$y'' = f(x, y, y'), \quad y(a) = \alpha, \quad y(b) = \beta$$
 (8.1)

Let us now attempt to turn Eqs. (8.1) into the initial value problem

$$y'' = f(x, y, y'), \quad y(a) = \alpha, \quad y'(a) = u$$
 (8.2)

The key to success is finding the correct value of u.

Solve initial value problem by marching from x = a to x = bIf the solution matches with  $y(b) = \beta$ , then OK. Or else more iteration on *u*  This can be converted into a root finding problem Any technique we use (ex. Runge Kutta) will be some kind of "function  $\theta$ " which takes "u" as the input and should give us y(b)

$$\theta(u) = \beta$$

Thus shooting method involves using some initial guess "*uo*" and solve iteratively till we get:  $\mathbf{r}(u) = \theta(u) - \beta \qquad (8.3)$ 

To iteratively solve for u we need to use any of the methods that we have learnt earlier. Newton's method is not all that good because it involves finding the derivative  $d\theta(u)/du$ 

## Solution strategy

- 1. Specify the starting values  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  which must bracket the root u of Eq. (8.3).
- 2. Apply Ridder's method to solve Eq. (8.3) for *u*. Note that each iteration requires evaluation of  $\theta(u)$  by solving the differential equation as an initial value problem.
- 3. Having determined the value of *u*, solve the differential equations once more and record the results.

When the differential equation is linear we need only two points to find the complete solution. So a simple secant method is preferable over general ridder's method

Solve the nonlinear boundary value problem

$$y'' + 3yy' = 0$$
  $y(0) = 0$   $y(2) = 1$ 

**Solution** Letting  $y = y_1$  and  $y' = y_2$ , the equivalent first-order equations are

$$\mathbf{y}' = \begin{bmatrix} y_1' \\ y_2' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_2 \\ -3y_1y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with the boundary conditions



Looking at the sketch it is clear that y'(0) > 0.5, so that y'(0) = 1 and 2 appear to be reasonable estimates for the brackets of y'(0); if they are not, Ridder's method will display an error message.

Solve the third-order boundary value problem

$$y''' = 2y'' + 6xy$$
  $y(0) = 2$   $y(5) = y'(5) = 0$ 

and plot y versus x.

Numerical integration of the initial value problem

y'' + 4y = 4x y(0) = 0 y'(0) = 0

yielded y'(2) = 1.65364. Use this information to determine the value of y'(0) that would result in y'(2) = 0.

**Solution** We use linear interpolation – see Eq. (4.2)

$$u = u_2 - \theta(u_2) \frac{u_2 - u_1}{\theta(u_2) - \theta(u_1)}$$

where in our case u = y'(0) and  $\theta(u) = y'(2)$ . So far we are given  $u_1 = 0$  and  $\theta(u_1) = 1.65364$ . To obtain the second point, we need another solution of the initial value problem. An obvious solution is y = x, which gives us y(0) = 0 and y'(0) = y'(2) = 1. Thus the second point is  $u_2 = 1$  and  $\theta(u_2) = 1$ . Linear interpolation now yields

$$y'(0) = u = 1 - (1) \frac{1 - 0}{1 - 1.65364} = 2.52989$$

Since the problem is linear, no further iterations are needed.

Solve the third-order boundary value problem

$$y''' = 2y'' + 6xy$$
  $y(0) = 2$   $y(5) = y'(5) = 0$ 

and plot y versus x.

Solution The first-order equations and the boundary conditions are

$$\mathbf{y}' = \begin{bmatrix} y_1' \\ y_2' \\ y_3' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_2 \\ y_3 \\ 2y_3 + 6xy_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $y_1(0) = 2$   $y_1(5) = y_2(5) = 0$ 

The program listed below is based on shoot 2 in Example 8.1. Because two of the three boundary conditions are specified at the right end, we start the integration at x = 5 and proceed with negative h toward x = 0. Two of the three initial conditions are prescribed as  $y_1(5) = y_2(5) = 0$ , whereas the third condition  $y_3(5)$  is unknown. Because the differential equation is linear, the two guesses for  $y_3(5)$  ( $u_1$  and  $u_2$ ) are not important; we left them as they were in Example 8.1. The adaptive Runge–Kutta method (runKut 5) was chosen for the integration.